

ALS and Communication Devices

ALS Education Day – September 27, 2019

Kalyna Franko MSc(A), S-LP(C)

Speech-language pathologist, ALS Clinic, Montreal Neurological Hospital, MUHC

Disclosure

- ▶ Financial support in the form of an honorarium has been provided by Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma Canada, Inc.
- ▶ No other conflicts of interest.

Learning objectives

- ▶ 1. Identify when the use of augmentative and alternative communication (AAC) may be appropriate for patients with ALS.
- ▶ 2. List options for low-tech and high-tech AAC methods and devices.
- ▶ 3. Describe basic criteria for choosing high-tech AAC devices.

Communication changes in ALS

- ▶ Speech changes
 - ▶ Mixed flaccid-spastic dysarthria
- ▶ Respiratory changes
 - ▶ Low voice volume and shorter sentence length
- ▶ Cognitive changes
 - ▶ FTD and executive dysfunction
- ▶ Pseudobulbar affect
 - ▶ Emotional lability

(Duffy, 2005)

Stages of speech decline in ALS

▶ Speech

- ▶ 4: Normal speech
- ▶ 3: Detectable speech disturbance
- ▶ 2: Intelligible with repeating
- ▶ 1: Speech combined with nonvocal communication
- ▶ 0: Loss of useful speech

▶ Rate of progression varies

ALSFRS (Cedarbaum et al., 1999)

Impact of communication changes in ALS

Question:

- ▶ How do you think progressive speech changes would impact you? List at least 3 ways.

Impact of communication changes in ALS

- ▶ Grief
- ▶ Social life
- ▶ Loss of identity

Role play

Approach to communication in ALS

- ▶ Maximize natural speech when possible
- ▶ Use alternative and augmentative communication methods (AAC) as needed
- ▶ Mix of both
 - ▶ Goal: To maintain functional communication for quality of life.

Examples of compensatory strategies to maximize natural speech

- ▶ **Environmental**
 - ▶ Quiet setting
 - ▶ Face to face
- ▶ **Behavioural**
 - ▶ Fewer words per utterance
 - ▶ Exaggerate articulation
 - ▶ Repeat as needed
- ▶ **Partner-assisted**
 - ▶ Yes/no questions (closed)
 - ▶ Providing choices based on context
 - ▶ Suggesting alternative communication methods as needed

(Yorkston, Miller, & Strand, 1995)

When is AAC appropriate for someone with ALS?

- ▶ **Speech**
 - ▶ 4: Normal speech
 - ▶ 3: Detectable speech disturbance
 - ▶ 2: Intelligible with repeating
 - ▶ 1: Speech combined with nonvocal communication
 - ▶ 0: Loss of useful speech

ALSFRS (Cedarbaum et al., 1999)

Low-tech AAC options

- ▶ Writing
 - ▶ pen/paper
 - ▶ LCD writing tablet
- ▶ Alphabet board
 - ▶ supplementation
 - ▶ partner-assisted scanning
- ▶ Communication board

- ▶ Augmentative
 - ▶ Voice amplifier

1	2	3	4	5	
6	7	8	9	0	
A	B	C	D	E	F
G	H	I	J	K	L
M	N	O	P	Q	End of Word
R	S	T	U	V	Back
W	X	Y	Z	I'll start again	



High-tech AAC in ALS

- ▶ Speech generating devices (SGD) using mostly text-based functions or applications
 - ▶ Dedicated communication devices
 - ▶ Everyday electronic devices (e.g. smartphone, tablet) are often compatible with text-to-speech apps
 - ▶ Message or voice banking



(www.tobiidynavox.com)

Potential barriers to using high-tech AAC

- ▶ Digital illiteracy
- ▶ Physical barriers
- ▶ Cognitive-linguistic deficits
- ▶ Languages used

Multidisciplinary assessment is often required to customize AAC

- ▶ Differing and evolving needs in people with ALS
 - ▶ Adapted access methods depending on physical needs: stylus, switches, head pointer, eye-gaze, etc.
 - ▶ Positioning, portability
 - ▶ Customization
- ▶ Training for people with ALS and caregivers
- ▶ Tech support

Programs dedicated to AAC in Quebec

- ▶ Specialized programs offering multidisciplinary assessment, adapted access, customization, training, tech support, etc.
 - ▶ Access to communication technology programs
 - ▶ Referral to a program based on region (postal code)
- ▶ Lending program for communication devices
 - ▶ PMATCOM
- ▶ Other resources for support and information for people with ALS: ALS Society of Quebec, Canada

Message or Voice banking

▶ Message banking

- ▶ Pre-recorded messages to be used on a SGD
 - ▶ Pros: your natural voice (intonation, personality, emotion)
 - ▶ Barriers: limited number of messages

▶ Voice banking

- ▶ ±1600 recordings are compiled to create a synthetic voice to be used on a SGD; works best if done in early stages
 - ▶ Pros: personalization, novel message production
 - ▶ Barriers: time-consuming, costly, synthetic-sounding

▶ Other options

- ▶ Use someone's voice who sounds similar to you

(Hobson & McDermott, 2016)

Brain-computer interface: the future of AAC?

- ▶ EEG signals used to control a cursor or make a selection (e.g. typing)
- ▶ Not yet feasible for widespread use
 - ▶ Accuracy of selection
 - ▶ Practicality for daily use

(Hobson & McDermott, 2016)

Role play with AAC

References

- ▶ Cedarbaum, J. M., Stambler, N., Malta, E., Fuller, C., Hilt, D., Thurmond, B., ... & 1A complete listing of the BDNF Study Group. (1999). The ALSFRS-R: a revised ALS functional rating scale that incorporates assessments of respiratory function. *Journal of the neurological sciences*, 169(1-2), 13-21.
- ▶ Duffy, J. R. (2005). *Motor speech disorders*. St. Louis, MO: Elsevier Mosby.
- ▶ Hobson, E. V., & McDermott, C. J. (2016). Supportive and symptomatic management of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Nature Reviews Neurology*, 12(9), 526.
- ▶ Yorkston, K., Miller, R., & Strand, E. (2004). *Management of Speech and Swallowing in Degenerative Diseases (2nd edition)*. Austin, TX: PRO-ED.